

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF CON United States Patent and Trademark Offic Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/629,927 07/30/2003 Barbara Gruble ZTP01P12001 1928 06/22/2004 **EXAMINER** 24131 7590 O MALLEY, KATHRYN S LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480 3749

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1. 4
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	11
		10/629,927	GRUBLE ET AL.	( , ,
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	9SS
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing that patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a regy within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT or cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this community  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	<u>1arch 2004</u> .		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under B	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are allowed.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-13,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠	· · · <del> ·</del>			
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	· -	• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	.,,,,,	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	•		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	eceived in this National St	age
* :	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived	
•	200 mg allacines detailed office addern for a list	o. are coranea copies not i		
Attachmer	nt(s)			
1) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	50)
•	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/03.	5) ☐ Notice of Inf 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	DZ)

Application/Control Number: 10/629,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent 4,307,096 to Takeyama.
- 3. Takeyama teaches a rotatable laundry dryer with drum 1 and stationary drying rack comprising grilled surfaces bottom 51, sides 52, and rear 53, wherein the surfaces are connected to one another by pivoting hinges 55, the rack is closed by the drum access door, and the rack is connected to the drum with fastening devices 8. Note Figures 2, 3, and 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeyama as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barnard.
- 6. Takeyama does not teach an insert for the drying rack. Barnard teaches a similar drying rack 1 comprising an insert 15 to be placed inside the drum of a laundry

Application/Control Number: 10/629,927

Art Unit: 3749

dryer. Note column 2, lines 54-62 and Figure 4. As Barnard teaches that an insert will provide separate drying compartments for several articles to be dried, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the drying rack of Takeyama with the insert of Barnard.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 16 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 7-9 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Herr, Daily, Laue et al., and Lauck teach similar inserts for drying drums.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (703)308-2844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**KSO** 

Supervisory Fater Examiner
Group 3700